



HENDERSON PADDON & ASSOCIATES LIMITED

CIVIL & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS

105553

August 8, 2006

Mr. Ross C. McLean
Barristers & Solicitors
27 Main Street South, Box 118
Chesley, ON N0G 1L0

Attention: Ms. Tammy W. Grove-McClement, B.A. (Hons.), LL.B.

Re: Paisley Hotel

Dear Ms. Grove-McClement:

I am in receipt of information provided to me in your letter of August 2, 2006 requesting that we review the building permit application and engineered drawings. In addition to that, I was provided with drawings SO1 to SO6 prepared by Philips Engineering and sealed by Mr. H. A. P. Huitema. These drawings are dated January 24, 2006.

We have reviewed the information provided to us and we have the following comments.

1. The Court Order under Item 1 b, c and e refers to "immediate repairs". Immediate repairs are described on Pages 9 and 10 of Philips Engineering Limited report dated April 18, 2006, under "General Conclusions - Areas that Must be Addressed Immediately." Five (5) items are listed on pages 9 and 10 of that report which I would interpret are the immediate items referred to in the Court Order. Details relating to the immediate work are outlined on Sheets SO1 to SO6 prepared by Philips Engineering dated July 24, 2006. On Drawing SO1, there is a note which reads "these drawings are prepared as the first phase in the building structure" and relate to public safety. In my opinion, if a building permit is to be issued, it would have to be conditional upon submission of a future building application and associated drawings and specifications relating to occupancy".
2. Of the five (5) items listed on page 9 and 10 of the Philips Engineering report dated April 18, 2006, Item 3 "Reinstatement of Support, Etc.", is, in my opinion, only partially addressed in the drawings submitted in that temporary support is provided, but not reinstatement of support due to compromised wood beams, joists, etc., including replacement of the affected members or incorporation of new columns and suitably sized footings. Details relating to my opinion are described specifically in my attached "Review of Drawings SO1 to SO6".
3. On Page 10 of the April 18 Philips report, Item 4 describes "Repair of the roof framing and roofing systems, etc." This item states that there is considerable water ingress into the building which must be arrested as soon as possible. In my opinion, the documents provided, do not indicate how the water ingress will be arrested and how the building will be protected from water entry. In my opinion, this item is critical to any success of immediate repairs, and should be covered.
4. Item 5 on page 10 of the Philips Engineering April 18, 2006 report describes "Reinstatement of masonry foundation wall, etc.". This item does not appear to be addressed in the drawings submitted.

Therefore, in our opinion, the "Immediate Repairs" as described on Pages 9 and 10 of the Philips Engineering Report have not all been addressed in the information provided. The Chief Building Official does not have all of the necessary information and details in order to issue a building permit and meet the requirements for immediate repairs as described in pages 9 and 10 of the Philips Engineering April 18, 2006 report.

Owen Sound Professional Centre
945 - 3rd Ave East • Suite 212
Owen Sound, Ontario N4K 2K8

Tel: 519-376-7612
Fax: 519-376-8008
Email: hpa@hp.on.ca
Website: www.hp.on.ca

RECEIVED

AUG - 9 2006

ARRAN-ELDERSLIE

13. 2 copy to all