

- Plans should specify that "all floor and roof joists that have rotted or have become damaged, will be repaired or replaced"
- On Sheet SO3, the clouded area states "Replace rotten roof joists, etc.". We note that the support walls for these joists do not line up with bearing walls below. Is the structure below adequate to carry these loads?
- Details and specifications are required for new windows.
- On the "west elevation on Sheet SO5", the steel landing is to be removed. We assume that this landing is required for fire exit purposes. Clarification by an Architect is required relating to fire exits.
- For Detail A/SO6, indicate flashing, air barrier, vapour barrier; interior finish and truss hold down anchor details. Is the wall from the ground floor to second floor solid brick masonry? Indicate wall thickness below.
- Section 1/S2, S3, on the low roof states "existing roofing and joist to remain" whereas Sheet SO2 shows new 2" x 10" joists. Please clarify. Also what will be done with the existing roofing and insulation for the flat roof?
- On Sheet SO4 for the west wing roof, the snow load is shown as 50.1 psf. Clarify if this should be 52 psf increased for unbalanced snow load conditions.
- For the notes on Sheet SO6, the general notes refer to specifications and other contract documents. Are there any? Under materials, note 3 reference is made to reinforced masonry. Is that applicable to this project? Also, under structural steel, reference is made to open web steel joists. Are there any open web steel joists on this project?
- As indicated in our January 9, 2006 report under Item 2 e, we recommend "The foundation support condition in the crawlspace should be inspected and verification should be obtained as to the structure to a structure integrity". Has this detailed inspection been undertaken?
- Our January report including field observations should be made available to Philips Engineering Limited and they should be requested to ensure that all noted items have been addressed.
- It appears that all items in the March 20 and April 18<sup>th</sup> reports by Philips Engineering Limited have not yet been incorporated in the drawings. This should be clarified by Philips Engineering Limited.

In my opinion, the building permit application and drawings submitted only partially comply with the Court Order issued May 12, 2006. Drawings which have been submitted relate to immediate repairs. However, in my opinion, additional information is required on these drawings in order to cover "immediate repairs" as described on Pages 9 and 10 of the Philips Engineering April 18, 2006 Report and allow for the issuance of a building permit.